

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,697	09/30/2004	Ronald G. Filippi	F1S920040188US1	5696
45094 7590 01/02/2008 HOFFMAN, WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO LLC 75 STATE ST			EXAMINER	
			AU, BAC H	
14TH FL ALBANY, NY 12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALDANI, NI	ALDANI, NI 12207		2822	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hwdpatents.com efiplaw@us.ibm.com

. ,	·	τH					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/711,697	FILIPPI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bac H. Au	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	ctober 2007.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable and applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on October 31, 2007, in which claims 1, 11, and 17 were amended, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Nitta [Figs.6A-D] discloses a method of forming a gas dielectric structure for a semiconductor structure, the method comprising the steps of:

forming an opening [13] for semiconductor structure in a dielectric layer [12] on a substrate [11], wherein the opening includes a wiring opening;

depositing a sacrificial layer [41] over the opening such that the sacrificial layer fails to substantially fill the opening;

performing a directional etch on the sacrificial layer to form a sacrificial layer sidewall [41] on the opening after depositing the sacrificial layer; wherein the directional etching removes the sacrificial layer only from substantially horizontal surfaces [Para.74];

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

depositing a conductive liner [14] over the opening after performing the directional etch;

depositing a metal [16] in the opening after depositing the conductive liner to form a wire;

planarizing the metal and the conductive liner [Fig.6C] after depositing the metal; removing the sacrificial layer sidewall after the metal and the conductive liner are planarized, forming a void [15a], wherein the void extends along a side of the contact wire; and

depositing a cap layer [17] over the void to form the gas dielectric structure;

wherein the conductive liner includes at least one of the group consisting of: tantalum (Ta), tantalum nitride (TaN), titanium (Ti), titanium nitride (TiN), tungsten (W) and niobium (Nb) [Para.75].

Nitta [Paras.1-7] discloses a multilevel wiring layer can be formed, using the damascene process described. Nitta fails to explicitly disclose

wherein the opening includes both a wiring opening and a via opening, wherein the via provides a vertical connection to an interconnect line; depositing a metal to form a contact via; and wherein the void extends along a side of the contact via.

However, it would be obvious that the process described in Nitta [Paras.1-7] would include forming both a wiring opening and a via opening to provide vertical

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

interconnection between the levels. To better teach a via opening and forming a contact via as claimed, Dubin is hereby discussed.

Dubin [Figs.1-5] discloses a method of forming a gas dielectric structure for a semiconductor structure

wherein the opening includes both a wiring opening [18c] and a via opening [18a,b],

wherein the via [22a,b] provides a vertical connection to an interconnect line; depositing a metal to form a contact via [Fig.2]; and wherein the void [24a,b] extends along a side of the contact via.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Dubin into the method of Nitta to include the limitations above. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Nitta in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having an improved method of making high aspect ratio contact plugs and wiring [Dubin; col.2 lines 13-17].

3. Claims 4-5, 11, 13, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328) and Cooney (U.S. Pub. 2004/0018714).

Regarding claims 4-5, 11, 13, and 17, Nitta and Dubin disclose most of the limitations of the claims as discussed above in the treatment of claim 1, wherein the wiring layer is formed by a damascene process, but fails to explicitly disclose

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

performing a dual damascene process; a via-first dual damascene process; to form an opening including a wiring opening and a via opening in a dielectric layer on a substrate;

wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask, patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask.

However, Cooney [Figs.13-26] discloses

performing a dual damascene process; a via-first dual damascene process; to form an opening including a wiring opening and a via opening in a dielectric layer on a substrate;

wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask [106], patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cooney into the method of Nitta and Dubin to include performing a dual damascene process; a via-first dual damascene process; to form an opening including at least one wiring opening and at least one via in a dielectric layer on a substrate; and wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask, patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Nitta and Dubin in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having a mask layer which would provide additional process flexibility in the formation of openings in the dielectric layer. Using hard masks and performing dual and via-first damascene processes are well-known in the art and are general knowledge to the ordinary artisan.

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

Regarding claims 15, and 19, Nitta discloses these limitations as discussed above in claim 7.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Parekh (U.S. Pat. 6214727).

Regarding claim 8, Nitta discloses the sacrificial layer [41] comprises silicon nitride (SiN), but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the sacrificial layer includes one of the group consisting of: aluminum (AI), and silicon dioxide. However, Parekh [Figs.9-13] discloses a method wherein the sacrificial layer [104] includes one of the group consisting of: aluminum (AI), and silicon dioxide [Col.5 lines 48-59]. Parekh discloses and makes it obvious that the sacrificial layer can be either silicon nitride or silicon oxide. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute one method for the other to achieve the predictable result of having a sacrificial layer that was selectively etchable relative to adjacent layers.

Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328) and Cooney (U.S. Pub. 2004/0018714), as applied to claims 11 and 17 above, and further in view of Parekh (U.S. Pat. 6214727).

Regarding claims 16 and 20, the limitations were discussed above in claim 8.

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

5. Claims 6, 11, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328) and Tsai (U.S. Pub. 2003/0077897).

Regarding claims 6, 11, 14, 17 and 18, Nitta and Dubin disclose most of the limitations of the claims as discussed above, wherein the wiring layer is formed by a damascene process, but fails to explicitly disclose

performing a dual damascene process; a via-first dual damascene process; to form an opening including a wiring opening and a via opening in a dielectric layer on a substrate; and

further comprising the step of depositing a non-conductive liner prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂).

However, Tsai [Figs.1a-f, 2c] discloses the method comprising the step of performing a dual damascene process; a via-first dual damascene process; to form an opening including a wiring opening and a via opening in a dielectric layer on a substrate; and depositing a non-conductive liner [250] prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tsai into the method of Nitta and Dubin to include in the method further comprising the step of depositing a non-

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

conductive liner prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂). The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Nitta and Dubin in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of forming a protective layer to prevent via poisoning in subsequent processing steps [Tsai; para.17].

6. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nitta (U.S. Pub. 2001/0054764) in view of Dubin (U.S. Pat. 6359328), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Te Velde (U.S. Pat. 4561173).

Regarding claims 9-10, Nitta discloses the step of removing the sacrificial sidewall layer by etching, but fails to disclose in the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H₂O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCI); and wherein in the case that water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H₂O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1.

However, Te Velde [Col.6 lines 51-55] discloses the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H₂O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCl); and wherein in the case that water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H₂O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1.

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Te Velde into the method of Nitta to include in the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H₂O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCI); and wherein in the case that water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H₂O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Nitta in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having an effective etchant with the desired selectivity.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bac H. Au whose telephone number is 571-272-8795. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/711,697 Art Unit: 2822 Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BHA

Zandra V. Smith ervisory Patent Examiner

22 101 200